Cooperative Forestry & Pest Management

Report 83-6

3450
March 1983

EVALUATION OF FUNGICIDES TO CONTROL BOTRYTIS BLIGHT
IN WESTERN LARCH SEEDBEDS
AT THE COEUR D'ALENE NURSERY, IDAHO

by

R. L. James [1], J. Y. Woo [2], P. L. Malone [3]

ABSTRACT

Six fungicides were evaluated to control Botrytis blight of western
larch in seedbeds at the Coeur d'Alene Nursery. Fungicides were applied
at biweekly intervals during the spring of 1982; test seedlings were
inoculated with spores of B. cinerea twice during the evaluation period.
Seedling mortality and height were recorded in October just prior to
lifting. Because of overall low infection levels, no differences in
seedling mortality were apparent as a result of fungicide treatment.
Low infection was likely due to drier spring weather than when outbreaks
of the disease previously occurred. Most fungicides decreased seedling
height, although no external indications of phytotoxicity were apparent.
Several of the fungicides tested can be considered for use to control
Botrytis blight in larch seedbeds.

INTRODUCTION

Western larch (Larix occidentalis Nutt.) is an important and highly
desirable conifer species in the Northern Region. Demand for larch
seedlings for outplanting increases each year. Nursery seedling produc-
tion is often limited by seed availability and other problems such as
diseases.

One of the serious diseases of larch in nurseries is blight caused by
Botrytis cinerea (Fr.) Pers. This pathogen causes a foliage and twig
blight that may result in extensive seedling mortality. Crops at the
Coeur d'Alene Nursery in both greenhouses (James et al. 1982) and seedbeds

[1] Plant Pathologist, Cooperative Forestry and Pest Management,
Missoula, MT.
[2] Plant Pathologist, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment
Station, Moscow, ID.
[3] Supervisory Forester, Coeur d'Alene Nursery, Coeur D'Alene, ID.

(James 1980) are often affected by the disease. Western larch is espe-
cially susceptible to Botrytis blight because of the abundance of dead
foliage it produces annually. The pathogen readily colonizes this
necrotic tissue, and then may spread to adjacent healthy tissues, causing
patches of seedling mortality (Jarvis 1980). Damage is often severe
under cool, wet conditions, especially when seedling canopies are full
and air circulation among plants is reduced (Blakeman 1980; Smith et al.
1973).

Botrytis blight is often controlled in greenhouses by applying fungicides
periodically through overhead watering systems (Gillman and James 1980;
McCain 1978; McCain and Smith 1978). Several fungicides have commonly
been used against this disease. However, the fungus may develop tolerance
to some of these chemicals, especially when one particular fungicide is
applied repeatedly throughout the growing season (Cooley 1981; Gillman
and James 1980; James and Gilligan 1983).

Strategies and schedules for fungicide application to control Botrytis
blight in conifer seedbeds have not been developed. Therefore, in this
study we evaluated the efficacy of several fungicides commonly used in
greenhouses to control the disease in a seedbed environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungicide evaluation tests were conducted on western larch seedlings
during their second growing season in seedbeds at the Coeur d'Alene
Nursery. Trees of approximate uniform height and density were selected
for the evaluation. Six fungicides previously tested in greenhouses
(James et al. 1982) were evaluated (table 1). Two formulations of chloro-
thalonil were tested because both had previously been used operationally
at the Nursery and we wanted to find out if there were differences in
seedling response to the two formulations. The checks consisted of
applying distilled water to test seedlings.

A randomized block design was used for the evaluation. Each treatment
block consisted of 0.9 linear meters (3 feet) of seedbed (0.95 m^2–10.5
ft^2). A 0.3 m (1 ft.) untreated buffer strip separated each treatment
block. Each treatment and the water check were replicated 5 times
(totaling 135 blocks). Treatment blocks were delineated on the ground
with wooden stakes at each corner and string tied between stakes. The
number of test seedlings in each block was recorded at this time.
Treatment blocks were clearly marked so that number of test seedlings in
each block at the beginning and end of the test could be accurately
compared.

Seedlings in each plot were treated with the appropriate fungicide or
water six times during the test period at approximately 2-week intervals
commencing on March 26, 1982. For each treatment, approximately 9.5
liters (2.5 gal.) of fungicide solution or water were applied to seed-
lings in each block so that all seedling foliage was thoroughly drenched.

Table 1.--Fungicides tested to control Botrytis blight on seedbed western larch at the Coeur d'Alene Nursery.

Common name	Trade name	Chemical name	Application rate per 100 gal. water	Manufacturer
benomyl	Tersan 1991®	Methyl-1-(butylcarbamoyl)-benzimidazole carbarmate	1 lb	Dupont
dicloran	Botran®	2,6-Dichloro-4-nitroaniline	1-1/3 lb	Tuco
chlorothalonil	Bravo 500®	Tetrachlorosiophthalonitrile	2-3/4 pt	Diamond-Shamrock
chlorothalonil	Daconil 2787®	Tetrachlorosiophthalonitrile	1½ lb	Diamond-Shamrock
captan	Captan	N-(Trichloromethylthio)-4-cyclohexenen-1,2-dicarboximide	2 lb	Stauffer
iprodione	Chipco 26019®	3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-N-(1-methylethyl)-2,4-dioxo-1-imidazolidinecarboximide	1 lb	Rhone-Poulenc

All test seedlings were inoculated with a spore suspension of Botrytis to provide uniform exposure to the fungus. The B. cinerea isolate used for inoculations (CD-16) was obtained from an infected western larch seedling growing in a greenhouse at the nursery. This isolate had been pathogenic to western larch as indicated in previous greenhouse inoculation-fungicide tests (James et al. 1982). Spore suspensions were prepared by adding 10 ml sterile distilled water to 14-day-old Botrytis cultures growing in petri dishes on potato dextrose agar. Spores were agitated with a sterile camel's hair brush. Spore suspensions were passed through double layers of cheesecloth to remove mycelial fragments. They were then brought to the desired concentration of about 2.8×10^6 spores/ml by diluting with sterile distilled water. Test seedlings in each treatment block were sprayed with 20 ml of the spore suspension using a fine mist atomizer.

Seedlings were initially inoculated on April 14-15 after they had been treated with the fungicides twice. Ambient temperature was about 2^oC and the weather was partly cloudy and windy. A month after this inoculation, there was little indication of infection - this may be due to the dry, windy weather during and shortly after the inoculation period. Therefore, we scheduled a second inoculation to coincide with a period of cool, wet weather. The second inoculation was conducted on May 27 during a light rain with ambient temperatures from 5 to 10^oC. Light rain continued for 2 days following the second inoculation. The spores used in both inoculations were from the same isolate, and the spore concentrations were also the same.

The evaluation was concluded on October 27-28 just prior to the trees being lifted. The number of live and dead seedlings per plot was tallied. We also measured the height of 100 seedlings in each treatment block. These seedlings were concentrated in the center of each treatment block to minimize possible border effects.

Seedling survival and height data were compared among treatments with an analysis of variance. Significant differences among treatments were located using Duncan's Multiple Range Comparison Test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our results indicated very high seedling survival in all treatment categories (table 2). Survival was even high (98.6 percent) in the water treatment, indicating very low levels of infection. Seedling survival differences among treatments were not statistically significant ($P = 0.05$).

Table 2.--Effects of fungicides on survival of western larch
seedlings at the Coeur d'Alene Nursery.

Treatment	No. dead seedlings [1]	Average percent survival [2]
water	21	98.6
benomyl (Tersan 1991®)	30	98.2
iprodione (Chipco 26019®)	11	99.3
chlorothalonil (Daconil 2787®)	50	97.2
captan (Captan)	36	97.9
dicloran (Botran®)	42	96.8
chlorothalonil (Bravo 6F®)	25	98.3

[1] The number of seedlings that died between the initial count
(3/30/82) and the final count (10/27-28/82).

[2] Means were not significantly different (P = 0.05) using an
analysis of variance. Percentages underwent arc-sin trans-
formations for data analysis.

These low infection levels were disappointing because we had expected
significant treatment differences based on previous tests in greenhouses
(James et al. 1982). Low infection resulted despite two inoculations of
test seedlings. Weather conditions during the spring of 1982 were drier
than during the previous 2 years. Since the environment in seedbeds is
not as easily controlled as it is in greenhouses, success of our inocu-
lations depended on ambient conditions. The initial inoculation was
conducted during a dry, windy period in April. For successful infection,
Botrytis spores need to lie in a film of water for at least several
hours (Blakeman 1980). Therefore, conditions were not conducive during
and shortly after the first inoculation period, resulting in little
infection. However, the second inoculation was conducted during a cool,
wet period in May and this wet period appeared to have persisted long
enough for good infection. Despite these conditions which seemed to be
ideal, there was little infection. We know that the spores were viable
because they were tested for germinative capacity on laboratory media
immediately after inoculation. After the second inoculation, a light
rain fell steadily for 2 days, so it is possible that many of the spores
were washed from the seedling foliage. Also, the May inoculation was
conducted late in the spring. The fungus needs time to become estab-
lished in infected tissues before onset of drier, summer-like weather.
It is possible that the fungus did not have enough time to get estab-
lished in the host after the May inoculation. In any event, the inocu-
lations resulted in infection much below the desired and expected levels.

Growth in seedling height during the second growing season was affected by the fungicides (table 3). Using the water check as a guide for normal seedling height, five of the six fungicides tested significantly reduced height growth of western larch. Chlorothalonil (Bravo 6F®) was the only fungicide that stimulated seedling height. However, the height of most of the treated seedlings was well within the standards established by the Nursery. Several of the tested fungicides caused similar effects on seedling height in a previous greenhouse test (James et al. 1982). However, phytotoxicity as evidenced by tissue necrosis or premature needle abscission was not found in either the seedbed or greenhouse tests.

Table 3.--Effects of selected fungicides on height of western larch seedlings at the Coeur d'Alene Nursery.

Treatment	Mean height (MM) [1]	95% Confidence interval
water	351.3 C	343.3 - 359.3
benomyl (Tersan 1991®)	327.9 B	319.5 - 336.4
iprodione (Chipco 26019®)	311.3 A	304.4 - 318.2
chlorothalonil (Daconil 2787®)	336.4 B	329.2 - 343.6
captan (Captan)	328.1 B	320.6 - 335.5
dicloran (Botran®)	311.6 A	304.4 - 318.9
chlorothalonil (Bravo 6F®)	370.9 D	362.6 - 379.3

[1] Means followed by the same capital letter are not significantly different (P = 0.05) using Duncan's Multiple Range Comparison Test.

Our results indicate that fungicide treatment of seedbeds to control Botrytis blight is necessary only if infection is common and periods of cool, wet weather are expected. We suspect that several of the fungicides tested are effective and could help reduce future losses from Botrytis. The treatment program should include several fungicides used in rotation so that the pathogen will have less opportunity to form tolerant strains (Cooley 1981; Gillman and James 1980).

This publication reports research involving pesticides. It does not
contain recommendations for their use, nor does it imply that the uses
discussed have been registered. All uses of pesticides must be regis-
tered by appropriate State and/or Federal agencies before they can be
recommended. CAUTION: Pesticides can be injurious to humans, domestic
animals, desirable plants, and fish or other wildlife--if they are not
handled or applied properly. Use all pesticides selectively and care-
fully. Follow recommended practices for the disposal of surplus
pesticides and pesticide containers.

LITERATURE CITED

Blakeman, J. P.
    1980. Behaviour of conidia on aerial plant surfaces. In Coley-
    Smith, J. R., K. Verhoeff, and W. R. Jarvis, eds. The biology
    of Botrytis. Academic Press, New York. pp. 115-152.

Cooley, S. J.
    1981. Fungicide tolerance of Botrytis cinerea isolates from
    conifer seedlings. USDA For. Ser., Pacific Northwest Region.
    13 pp.

Gillman, L. S. and R. L. James.
    1980. Fungicidal tolerance of Botrytis within Colorado green-
    houses. USDA Tree Planters' Notes 31(1): 25-28.

James, R. L.
    1980. Engelmann spruce needle blight at the Coeur d'Alene
    Nursery, Idaho. USDA For. Ser., Northern Region. Rept. 80-
    21. 5 pp.

James, R. L. and C. J. Gilligan.
    1983. Fungicide tolerance of Botrytis cinerea from the
    Flathead Indian Reservation Greenhouse, Ronan, Montana. USDA
    For. Ser., Northern Region. Rept. 83-5. 15 pp.

James, R. L., J. Y. Woo, and J. F. Myers.
    1982. Evaluation of fungicides to control Botrytis blight of
    containerized western larch and lodgepole pine at the Coeur
    d'Alene Nursery, Idaho. USDA For. Ser., Northern Region. Rept.
    82-17. 13 pp.

Jarvis, W. R.
    1980. Epidemiology. In Coley-Smith, J. R., K. Verhoeff, and
    W. R. Jarvis, eds. The biology of Botrytis. Academic Press,
    New York. pp. 219-250.

McCain, A. H.
    1978. Nursery disease problems-containerized nurseries. In
    Conference and Workshop Proceedings, Western Forest Nursery
    Council and Intermountain Nurseryman's Association, Eureka, CA.
    pp. B139-142.

McCain, A. H. and P. C. Smith.
    1978. Evaluation of fungicides for control of Botrytis blight
    of container-grown redwood seedlings. USDA Tree Planters'
    Notes. 29(4): 12-13.

Smith, R. S., Jr., A. H. McCain, and M. D. Srago.
    1973. Control of Botrytis storage rot of giant sequoia seed-
    lings. Plant Dis. Reptr. 57: 67-69.